		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sum	man/	09/939,093	MAW ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Sun	iiiai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Leslie A. Royds	1614
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds</u> .	ż	(3)	
(2) <u>Gabriel Kleiman</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 July 2006	_	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None.			
Claims discussed: None.			
Prior art documents discussed: None.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative confirmed that a reply to the Office Letter mailed December 23, 2005 had not been filed and that the application has been abandoned.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
	•		
$\Lambda \sim 20$			
PORTURATION 105ULY 2001	.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)
US. Patent and frademark Office RTOL-413B(04-03) Exami	ner Initiated Inte	erview Summary	Paper No. 07102006